UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,230	09/05/2006	Wolfgang Issler	Wolfgang ISSLER-8 PCT 6721		
25889 WILLIAM CO	7590 11/01/2007			INER	
COLLARD &	ROE, P.C.		GIMIE, MA	GIMIE, MAHMOUD	
ROSLYN, NY	ERN BOULEVARD 11576		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	3747		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	Office Action Summers	10/587,230	ISSLER, WOLFGANG			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	;	Mahmoud Gimie	3747			
T Period for R	the MAILING DATE of this communication application	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Days of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. From the mailing date of this communication. From the mailing date of the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>	eptember 2006.				
-		action is non-final.				
<u>' —</u>						
• —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected.						
	laim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All_b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1	<b>!</b> :	•				
Attachment(s						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)			
• —	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date			
3) 🔀 Informa	ntion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/24/06</u> .	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			

1, :

Application/Control Number: 10/587,230

Art Unit: 3747

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification contains references to the claims, such as page 1, lines 3-4, which do not contribute to the written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, or set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention. The references to the claims should be deleted from the text of the specification as required.

Appropriate correction is required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the disclosure does not contain subtitles such as "Background of the Invention" as required, see MPEP 608.01 (c).

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1,2,4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitacre (5,979,298) or Eitchel et al (DE10015709 A1).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/587,230

Art Unit: 3747

Whitacre discloses a combustion bowl (6) in the crown (3) of a piston (1) for a diesel engine having a ring-shaped cooling channel (9) disposed in the radially outer edge region of the piston (1) on the piston crown side (3), whereby the combustion bowl (6) is disposed outside of the center relative to the longitudinal piston axis (not numbered), wherein an undercut (not numbered) is formed into at least a part of the radially outer edge region of the combustion bowl (6), to such an extent that the entire radially outer edge region of the combustion bowl (6) is disposed sufficiently close to the cooling channel (9) for a cooling effect of the cooling channel (9) on the combustion bowl (6), see figures 1 and 5.

Regarding claim 2, Whitacre discloses a circle-shaped bowl neck (not numbered).

Regarding claim 4, the molded-on part (middle part) that is configured in hump-like manner is disposed in the center of the crown (3) of the combustion bowl (6).

Regarding claim 9, the fuel is injected into the combustion bowl (6) by way of injection nozzles, the bores of which are dimensioned and disposed in such a manner that the width and the orientation of the fuel jets are adapted to the local expanses of the related bowl regions (inherent).

Regarding the application of Eitchel et al, the reference is similar to figure 5 of Whitacre.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Page 4

Claims 3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitacre (5,979,298) in view of EP 0105933.

Whitacre discloses all the limitations as applied to claims 1,2,4 and 9 above, except for multiple regions, oval shaped neck.

EP 0105933 discloses a piston with multiple regions.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Whitacre by using a piston with multiple regions as disclosed by EP 0105933.

Further it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the bowl neck oval instead of circular because applicant has not disclosed that doing so solves a particular problem.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show pistons having precombustion chambers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Gimie whose telephone number is 571-272Application/Control Number: 10/587,230

**Art Unit: 3747** 

4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 7 a.m. -3:30

Page 5

p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MG

MAHMOUD GIMIE PRIMARY EXAMINER